

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER, FOR PATENTS ADDRESS: ADDRESS ADD

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/688,882 10/21/2003		Hideki Yamada	10089/22	2771	
23838	7590	11/03/2005	EXAMI		INER
KENYON		ON	DRODGE, JOSEPH W		
1500 K STREET NW SUITE 700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005	1723		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of the many be available under the providence of 3.7 CFR 1.736(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed.  Educations of the many be available under the providence of 3.7 CFR 1.736(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed considered timely.  If the period for reply sepacified above it is less than thirty (20) days, a reply within the attainty minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply sepacified above, the materim attainty pared will apply and will expise XIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Palavier to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. § 133).  Provide the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any extended patent ferm adjustment. Set 3.7 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 22 September 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are allowed.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application from the quay objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 3				_
## Examiner ## Losoph W. Drodge ## Losoph W.		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Joseph W. Drodge		10/688,882	YAMADA ET AL.	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of ten may be switched under the provisions of 3 °CFR 1.13(s), to no event, however, may a reply be timely fitted  Extensions of ten may be switched under the provisions of 3 °CFR 1.13(s), to no event, however, may a reply be timely fitted  If the period for reply specified allows the tensions of 3 °CFR 1.13(s), and it is no event, however, may a reply be timely fitted  If the period for reply specified allows the tensions of 3 °CFR 1.13(s), and the period for reply specified allows the tensions of the part of the period for reply specified allows. He making date of this communication, 1-14 period for reply specified allows the specified for reply specified allows. He making date of this communication, 1-14 period for reply specified allows. He making date of this communication, 1-14 period for reply specified allows. He making date of this communication, 1-14 period for reply specified allows. He making date of this communication, 1-14 period for reply specified allows. He making date of this communication, 1-14 period for reply specified allows. He making date of this communication, 1-14 period for the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the making allows. He making allows the period for the making allows the period for the period for the communication and the formation of the making allows. He making allows the period for the provide peri	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of sine may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Extensions of sine may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statution practice will expire SIX (s) MONTH'S from the mailtage date of this communication.  - Failure to the specified above, the maximum statution practice will expire SIX (s) MONTH'S from the mailtage date of this communication.  - Failure to the specified above, the maximum statution practice will expire SIX (s) MONTH'S from the mailtage date of this communication.  - Failure to the specified above, the maximum statution practice will expire six (s) MONTH'S from the mailtage date of this communication.  - Failure to the specified above, the maximum statution practice will expire six (s) MONTH'S from the mailtage date of this communication.  - Failure to the specified above, the maximum statution practice will be expired above.  - Failure to the specified to the control of the specified above.  - Failure to the specified to the condition.  - Failure to the specified above.  - Failure to the specified			<u> </u>	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of tem reply be switched under the provisions of 3° CFR 113(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the nealing date of this communication.  A policy of the provision of the provision of the communication of the communication of the communication of the provision of the	The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) flied on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.</li> </ul>	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The precification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status	•		
4)  Claim(s) 17-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  cocepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allows	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  A linterview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.		- · ·	· ·	
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.		- · ·		
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicatority documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	_		
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal	ate	

Art Unit: 1723

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumano et al patent 5,783,079 in view of Marino et al patent 4,638,168, Taketani et al patent 4,260,652 and admissions of the Instant Specification on pages 13 and 14. Kumano et al disclose a process of producing a polysulfone porous hollow fiber by a

Art Unit: 1723

dry-set? (dry-wet) formation (column 6, lines 29-32 and 45-48), forming a thin separating layer by interfacial condensation including contacting the fiber membrane with solutions of amine and acid halide, respectively where the concentrations of the two solutions may vary but are held substantially constant by renewing the respective bath sources when necessary (column 7, lines 44-49 and column 8, lines 31-52 and column 12, lines 24-41).

The thickness of the separating layer is said to be optimized with respect to being thick enough to avoid defects while not being so thick as to deteriorate permability and to be a function of concentrations of the solutions and may vary widely depending upon selected concentrations (column 8, lines 31-52 and column 10, lines 31-46).

The claims differ in requiring the membranes to have a property such that, in the infrared absorption spectrum, the ratio of adsorption intensity of the polyamide layer to the polysulfone layer, at a specified absorption peak and wavenumber ranges between 0.1 and 1.5, have a sucrose removal of 95.2% or more, and have a water permeability of 0.2 m3/m2/day with respect to an aqueous sucrose solution at particular operating pressure, temperature and pH.

As to adsorption intensity ratios, the instant specification at pages 13-14 teach that such ratios inherently vary primarily as a result of thicknesses of the respective membrane layers, while Marino et al teach determination of hollow fiber, polyamide or polysulfone material, thicknesses by measured adsorption intensities in any wavelength of light, including infrared (column 2, lines 53-65) and Taketani et al teach to determine thicknesses of both a separating polyamine layer and substrate polysulfone layer of

Art Unit: 1723

composite membranes with controlled layer thicknesses (column 25, lines 26-33 and column 28, lines 50-57, etc.) and in which the polymer concentrations and chemical makeup may be measured by infrared adsorption spectroscopy (column 29, lines 12-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have considered the Kumano et al membrane to inherently posses the claimed absorption intensity ratio, since Kumano et al teach to optimize membrane layer composition concentrations and thicknesses, the instant Specification teaches that layer thicknesses are the primary factor in giving adsorption intensity ratio values, and Marino et al and Taketani et al teach that membrane thicknesses and compositions are readily determinable by infrared adsorption spectrum analysis.

With respect to sucrose removal and attendant water permeability, Kumano et al disclose the membrane being used for separating dextrose or glucose from an aqueous solution and effectively removing dextrose while maintaining a high water permeability (Example 1 coupled with Table 1 on column 21). Also, at column 17, lines 2-3, see removal of approximately 95% of dextran or glucose from a solution being filtered. Additionally, at column 5, lines 57-66, etc. Kumano discloses varying of porosity, hence molecular weight cut-off of the membrane depending upon what is being filtered. Thus, it would have been also obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have optimized the membrane of Kumano et al to be operative to remove the claimed percentage of sucrose from aqueous solution, since sucrose is chemically similar to dextrose/glucose and sucrose removal or concentration by membrane separation would inherently be of

Art Unit: 1723

importance in the same industrial environments as dextran/glucose removal concentration; and also, Kumano et al teach that separating layer concentrations and thicknesses can be widely varied for optimization.

With regard to claim 18, column 9, lines 1-7 of Kumano indicate sequential contact with the amine solution and acid halide solution.

With regard to claim 19, Kumano discloses trifunctional acid halide at column 8, line 14.

With regard to claim 20, Kumano teaches piperazine compounds at column 8, lines 3-7.

With regard to claim 21, column 8, lines 36-40 of Kumano disclose approximately equal concentrations of piperazine type multifunctional halide and acid halide hence a ratio within the specified range.

Applicant's arguments filed on 9/22/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is argued that the references do not teach maintaining a constant concentration of amine solution during production of the membrane. However, Kumano clearly discloses concern with establishing and maintaining optimum concentration of solutions and of replenishing baths to control concentrations for such maintaining at column 12, lines 25-28 and lines 39-41 in particular.

It is also argued that Kumano does not demonstrate obtaining a membrane with high sucrose removal. It is submitted that removal of dextran, i.e. glucose, is given as only an example of the membrane's capabilities (column 6, lines 16-18) and that the Kumano membrane may be employed for a wide variety of end uses depending upon

Art Unit: 1723

selected pore sizes and shapes (column 1, lines 18-21 "...removal of valuable matter in aqueous solutions..." and column 5, lines 56-65 concerning pore sizes and shapes.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Drodge at telephone number 571-272-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can reached at 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the examining group where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,882 Page 7

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR, and through Private PAIR only for unpublished applications. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**JWD** 

October 31, 2005

JOSEPH DRODGE RIMARY EXAMINER